

Adding Types

Tikhon Jelvis (tikhon@jelv.is)

December 13, 2013

Untyped Lambda Calculus

- ▶ simple model of functions
- ▶ few parts:

$e ::= x$	variable
$\lambda x.e$	abstraction
$e_1 e_2$	application

Untyped Lambda Calculus

- ▶ simple evaluation
- ▶ just function application!

$$\frac{(\lambda x.e)e'}{[e'/x]e}$$

- ▶ replace x with the argument in the body

The Song that Never Ends

- ▶ Lambda calculus is Turing-complete (Church-Turing thesis)
- ▶ infinite loops:

$$(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.yy) \Rightarrow (\lambda y.yy)(\lambda y.yy)$$

- ▶ good for programming, bad for logic

Preventing Self-Application

- ▶ problem: self-application
 - ▶ `xx` leads to infinite loops
- ▶ we need a rule to **prevent** self-application (and infinite loops in general)
 - ▶ simple
 - ▶ syntactic
 - ▶ static
- ▶ **conservative** by necessity

Why?

- ▶ helps lambda calculus as a logic
- ▶ provides **simple** model of real type systems
- ▶ helps design new types and type systems
- ▶ usual advantages of static typing

Base Types

- ▶ start with some “base” types (like axioms)
 - ▶ ints, booleans. . . whatever
- ▶ even just the *unit* type is fine
- ▶ base types have values:
 - ▶ () is of type *unit*
 - ▶ 1 is of type *int*
- ▶ ultimately, the exact base types don't matter

Function Types

- ▶ one type constructor: \rightarrow (like axiom schema)
- ▶ represents function types
- ▶ $unit \rightarrow unit$
- ▶ $int \rightarrow unit \rightarrow int$
- ▶ values are functions

Assigning Types

- ▶ we need some way to give a type to an expression
- ▶ **only** depends on the static syntax
- ▶ **typing judgement**: $x : \tau$

Context

- ▶ **depends** on what's in scope (typing context):

$$\Gamma \vdash x : \tau$$

- ▶ things in scope: “context”, Γ
- ▶ set of typing judgements for **free variables**:

$$\Gamma = \{x : \tau, y : \tau \rightarrow \tau, \dots\}$$

New Syntax

$\tau ::= \textit{unit}$ unit type
| \textit{int} int type
| $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ function types



$e ::= ()$ unit value
| n integer
| $e_1 + e_2$ arithmetic
| x variable
| $\lambda x : \tau. e$ abstraction
| $e_1 e_2$ application

Typing Rules

- ▶ we can assign types following a few “typing rules”
- ▶ idea: if we see expression “x”, we know “y”
- ▶ just like implication in logic

$$\frac{\text{condition}}{\text{result}}$$

- ▶ remember the context matters: Γ

Base rules

- ▶ note: **no** prerequisites!

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash n : int}$$
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash () : unit}$$

- ▶ **base cases** for recursion

Main Rules

- ▶ contexts:

$$\frac{x : \tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau}$$

- ▶ function bodies:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : \tau. e) : \tau \rightarrow \tau'}$$

Main Rules

- ▶ application:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \rightarrow \tau' \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau'}$$

- ▶ **recursive cases** in the type system
- ▶ think of a function over syntactic terms
 - ▶ similar to evaluation!

Domain-Specific Rules

- ▶ we add rules for our “primitive” operations

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : int \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : int}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : int}$$

- ▶ imagine other base types like booleans

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash c : bool \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash ce_1e_2 : \tau}$$

- ▶ easy to extend

No Polymorphism

- ▶ we do not have any notion of polymorphism
- ▶ function arguments **have** to be annotated
- ▶ untyped: $\lambda x.x$
- ▶ typed:
 - ▶ $\lambda x : \text{unit}.x$
 - ▶ $\lambda x : \text{int}.x$
 - ▶ $\lambda x : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{unit} \rightarrow \text{int}.x$

Numbers

- ▶ remember numbers as repeated application
- ▶ untyped:
 - ▶ 0: $\lambda f.\lambda x.x$
 - ▶ 1: $\lambda f.\lambda x.fx$
 - ▶ 2: $\lambda f.\lambda x.f(fx)$
 - ▶ 3: $\lambda f.\lambda x.f(f(fx))$

Typed Numbers

- ▶ we can add types:
 - ▶ 0: $\lambda f : \textit{unit} \rightarrow \textit{unit} . \lambda x : \textit{unit} . x$
 - ▶ 1: $\lambda f : \textit{unit} \rightarrow \textit{unit} . \lambda x : \textit{unit} . fx$
 - ▶ 2: $\lambda f : \textit{unit} \rightarrow \textit{unit} . \lambda x : \textit{unit} . f(fx)$
 - ▶ 3: $\lambda f : \textit{unit} \rightarrow \textit{unit} . \lambda x : \textit{unit} . f(f(fx))$
- ▶ numbers: $(\textit{unit} \rightarrow \textit{unit}) \rightarrow \textit{unit} \rightarrow \textit{unit}$

Pairs

- ▶ remember pair encoding:
 - ▶ cons: $\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda f.fxy$
 - ▶ first: $\lambda x.\lambda y.x$
 - ▶ second: $\lambda x.\lambda y.y$
- ▶ lets us build up data types, like lisp

Typed Pairs

- ▶ cons:

$$\lambda x : \tau. \lambda y : \tau. \lambda (f : \tau \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \tau). fxy$$

- ▶ but we want pairs of **different** types!
- ▶ we should add pairs (“product types”) to our system

Product Types

- ▶ new type syntax: $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$
- ▶ like Haskell's (a, b) or OCaml's $a * b$
- ▶ constructor:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}$$

Product Types

- ▶ accessors (first and second):

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{first } e : \tau_1}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{second } e : \tau_2}$$

Sum Types

- ▶ sum types: disjoint/tagged unions, variants
- ▶ like Haskell's `Either`
- ▶ new type syntax: $\tau_1 + \tau_2$
- ▶ construction:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{left } e : \tau_1 + \tau_2}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{right } e : \tau_1 + \tau_2}$$

Sum Types

► matching

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \quad \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_1 : \tau' \quad \Gamma, y : \tau_2 \vdash e_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash (ex_1ye_2) : \tau'}$$

Algebraic Data Types

- ▶ this basically gives us algebraic data types
- ▶ now we just need **recursive types** and **polymorphism**