

Polymorphism

Tikhon Jelvis (tikhon@jelv.is)

February 13, 2014

Untyped λ -Calculus

- ▶ model computation with functions
- ▶ simple structure:

$e ::= x$	variable
$\lambda x.e$	abstraction
$e_1 e_2$	application

-Calculus Evaluation

- ▶ key idea: application by substitution

$$(\lambda x.e)s \Rightarrow [s/x]e$$

- ▶ $[s/x]e$ = “replace x with s in e ”
- ▶ handy mnemonic (thanks Sergei): multiplying by $\frac{s}{x}$ and canceling
- ▶ remember to worry about “capturing”

Simple Types

- ▶ extend -calculus with **types**
- ▶ base types
 - ▶ **unit, int...** etc
- ▶ function types
 - ▶ **int \rightarrow int**
 - ▶ **(unit \rightarrow unit) \rightarrow int \rightarrow int**

Syntax: Terms and Types

$\tau ::=$	unit	unit type
	$\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$	function types
$e ::=$	$()$	unit value
	x	variable
	$\lambda x : \tau. e$	abstraction
	$e_1 e_2$	application

Typing Rules

- ▶ functions:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : \tau. e) : \tau \rightarrow \tau'}$$

- ▶ application:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \rightarrow \tau' \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau'}$$

Problem: Repetition

- ▶ every type has **an** identity function:

$$\lambda x : \tau. x$$

- ▶ **different** for every possible τ

$$id_{\text{unit}}, id_{\text{int}}, id_{\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}} \dots$$

- ▶ a single term can have **multiple** incompatible types

Solution: System F

- ▶ add polymorphism to our types
 - ▶ types parameterized by other types
- ▶ what is a “parameterized term” x ?
 - ▶ abstraction (function)
- ▶ so: function **for** types
- ▶ id would take a type τ and give you id_τ

New Syntax

$\tau ::=$	unit	unit type
	α	type variable
	$\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$	function types
	$\forall \alpha. \tau$	type quantification
$e ::=$	$()$	unit value
	x	variable
	$\lambda x : \tau. e$	abstraction
	$e_1 e_2$	application
	$\Lambda \alpha. e$	type abstraction
	$e_1[\tau]$	type application

Type Variables

- ▶ behave mostly like value-level variables
- ▶ type variables can be **free** or **bound**
 - ▶ free variables are not defined inside expression
- ▶ **substitute** types for type variables:
 - ▶ $[\sigma/\alpha]\tau$ means “replace α with σ in type τ ”

Evaluation

- ▶ simply typed λ -calculus—just like untyped:

$$(\lambda x : \tau. e)s \Rightarrow [s/x]e$$

- ▶ one more rule, for type abstractions:

$$(\Lambda \alpha. e)[\tau] \Rightarrow [\tau/\alpha]e$$

- ▶ **type-level** version of the first rule
- ▶ reduction is still **very simple**

Typing Rules

- ▶ Γ now covers both type and term variables
- ▶ basic rules just like STLC
- ▶ new rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash x : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda \alpha. x : \forall \alpha. \tau}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash x : \forall \alpha. \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x[\sigma] : ([\sigma/\alpha]\tau)}$$

- ▶ compare to normal abstraction and application

Running Example: id

- ▶ function:

$$id : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$id = \Lambda \alpha. \lambda (x : \alpha). x$$

- ▶ reduction:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Lambda \alpha. \lambda (x : \alpha). x)[\mathbf{unit}]() \\ \Rightarrow & (\lambda (x : \mathbf{unit}). x)() \\ \Rightarrow & () \end{aligned}$$

Another Example: `app`

- ▶ Untyped term, impossible in STLC:

$$\lambda f. \lambda x. fx$$

- ▶ we can type function application:

$$app : \forall \alpha. \forall \beta. (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$app = \Lambda \alpha. \Lambda \beta. \lambda (f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta). \lambda (x : \alpha). fx$$

- ▶ Haskell `$`, OCaml `<|`: really just *id* with restricted type

Interesting Example: self application

- ▶ We cannot even **express** self-application in STLC

$$\lambda f. ff$$

- ▶ but we **can** with polymorphism:

$$self : (\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow (\forall \beta. \beta \rightarrow \beta)$$

$$self = \lambda(f : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha). f[\forall \beta. \beta \rightarrow \beta]f$$

- ▶ however, still no infinite loops

Data Structures

- ▶ consider untyped booleans:

$$true = \lambda x. \lambda y. x$$

$$false = \lambda x. \lambda y. y$$

- ▶ typed version:

$$true, false : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$true = \Lambda \alpha. \lambda (x : \alpha). \lambda (y : \alpha). x$$

$$false = \Lambda \alpha. \lambda (x : \alpha). \lambda (y : \alpha). y$$

- ▶ types prevent malformed “booleans”

Products

- ▶ easy in untyped ; added to STLC explicitly:

$$\sigma \times \tau : \forall \alpha. (\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\langle s, t \rangle = \Lambda \alpha. \lambda (f : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \alpha). fst$$

$$fst : \sigma \times \tau \rightarrow \sigma$$

$$fst = \lambda (p : \sigma \times \tau). p[\sigma](\lambda s : \sigma. \lambda t : \tau. s)$$

- ▶ we can do sum types similarly

Type Inference

- ▶ this is a handy system
- ▶ unfortunately, **type inference is undecidable**
- ▶ we can make type inferrable with a simple restriction:
 - ▶ **prenex form**: all quantifiers at the front
 - ▶ types where all forall are left of parentheses
- ▶ Haskell, ML... etc do this

Hindley-Milner

- ▶ important insight: **most general type**
- ▶ every untyped term has a **unique** most general type

$$\lambda x.x : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$$

- ▶ we can easily model this with logic programming
 - ▶ faster algorithms exist as well

Curry-Howard

- ▶ System F maps to 2nd-order logic
 - ▶ quantifiers **only** over predicates
- ▶ predicate logic with \forall but no “domains”
 - ▶ no external sets to quantify over
- ▶ consider: Λ defines a function from types to values
 - ▶ but not vice-versa

Experimenting

- ▶ Standard Haskell, ML... etc: prenex form
- ▶ Haskell with RankNTypes: everything we've covered
 - ▶ along with recursion and recursive types
- ▶ OCaml can also do the equivalent of RankNTypes but awkwardly